



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,571	01/29/2004	Karla Weaver	706177-2001	6350

7590 10/14/2011
Bingham McCutchen LLP
2020 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

STIGELL, THEODORE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3763

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/14/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/768,571	Applicant(s) WEAVER ET AL.	
	Examiner THEODORE STIGELL	Art Unit 3763	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THEODORE STIGELL. (3)_____.

(2) Dhruv Kaushal. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner called Mr. Kaushal to inform him that claim 18 is not allowable. Although the rejection under Steigerwald and Merril over claim 18 was overturned by the Board Decision mailed 8/29/2011, the rejection under Frisch, Merrill, and Steigerwald over claim 18 was upheld. Therefore, claim 18 is not allowable..

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Theodore J Stigell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763	
---	--